



CITY OF


BIG BEAR LAKE *California*

Redistricting 2021 Fourth Public Hearing



www.bbklaw.com
© 2021 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Existing Districts



Housing Stats

Findings

- Total Deviation is above the 10% “acceptable” range at 20.24%
- Minority population *may* not rise to a level high enough to create majority/minority district
- Current district boundaries must be adjusted for population balance
- Current district boundaries are not compact; Council may wish to consider a map(s) that create more compact districts with less linear features




Draft Maps Discussion

Continuity v. Compactness/Simplicity

- 18 maps presented for initial consideration
 - Council narrowed focus to Map 1 and Map 5B
- Fall within two distinct categories
 - Linear configuration resembling current districts – Map 1
 - Block configuration changing the core of existing districts – Map 5B



Map Option 1 – Deviation 1.98%




Findings – Map Option 1

- Linear configuration, yet more compact than existing map
- Retains core of existing districts
- Disrupts the fewest number of voters
 - 453 voters are deferred from 2022 to 2024
 - 420 voters are accelerated from 2024 to 2022



Map Option 5B – Deviation 1.68%



www.bbklaw.com

© 2021 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Findings – Map Option 5B

- Compact, block district configuration
- Generally does not retain core of existing Districts 1, 2 and 3
- Defers 1,008 voters from 2022 to 2024
- Accelerates 978 voters from 2024 to 2022



Public Hearing & Discussion

- Review of draft map options
- Select final map configuration
- Introduce the Ordinance approving the final map

